UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS MARSHALL DIVISION

CONVOLVE, INC.	§	
	§	
vs.	§	CASE NO. 2:08-CV-244-CE
·	§	
DELL, INC., ET AL	§	

VERDICT FORM

In answering these questions, you are to follow all of the instructions I have given you in the Court's charge.

1. Did Convolve prove by a preponderance of the evidence that the Defendants directly infringed any of the claims of the '473 Patent identified below?

Answer "Yes" or "No" for each claim and each Defendant.

Claim 7	Dell	Western Digital
Claim 8	Dell	Western Digital Yes Hitachi
Claim 9	Dell	Western Digital 465 Hitachi
Claim 10	<u>Jes</u> Dell	Western Digital Witachi
Claim 14	Dell	Western Digital Hitachi
Claim 15	Dell Dell	Western Digital Y Hitachi
Claim 10 Claim 14	Dell	Western Digital Hitach Western Digital Hitach

2. Did Convolve prove by a preponderance of the evidence that the Defendants induced the infringement of any of the claims of the '473 Patent identified below?

Answer "Yes" or "No" for each claim and each Defendant.

Claim 7 Dell Western Digital	Z Hitachi
Claim 8 / Dell Western Digital	💆 Hitachi
Claim 9 Dell Western Digital	Hitachi
Claim 10 Dell Western Digital	Ź Hitachi
Claim 14 15 Dell Western Digital	Hitachi
Claim 15 Dell Western Digital	Hitachi

3. Did Convolve prove by a preponderance of the evidence that the Defendants contributed to the infringement of any of the claims of the '473 Patent identified below?

Answer "Yes" or "No" for each claim and each Defendant.

Claim 7	Dell	Western Digital Ves Hitachi
Claim 8	Dell	Western Digital 185 Hitachi
Claim 9	Dell	Western Digital / Hitachi
Claim 10	Dell	Western Digital Hitachi
Claim 14	Dell	Western Digital 165 Hitachi
Claim 15	Dell	Western Digital Hitachi

4. If You found that a Defendant infringed one or more claims of the '473 Patent, did Convolve prove by clear and convincing evidence that the Defendant willfully infringed the '473 Patent?

Answer "Yes" or "No" for each Defendant.

Dell Vestern Digital Vestern Hitachi

5. For each asserted claim of the '473 Patent, did Defendants prove by clear and convincing evidence that such claim is invalid?

Check the first column indicated as "valid" or check the second column indicated as "invalid."

	VALID	INVALID
Claim 7	Ye5_	
Claim 8	<u> 162</u>	
Claim 9	1	***************************************
Claim 10	45	***************************************
Claim 14	169	
Claim 15	42	

If you have answered YES to any claim included in questions number 1, 2, or 3 with respect to any Defendant, and further if you have determined that such claim is valid, then answer questions number 6(a) - (c) as to any Defendant you have found to infringe.

6a. What sum of money, if paid now in cash, do you find from a preponderance of the evidence would fairly and reasonably compensate Convolve for the Defendants' past infringement?

Only award damages for those claims You find infringed and valid. Do not assess any interest, as the Court will determine interest if it deems necessary. Answer separately for each Defendant.

Dell: \$ 1,5 mil	
Western Digital: \$ 2.5 m	il_
Hitachi: \$ 1,4 me	L

6b. State whether you applied a running royalty or a lump sum royalty.

Answer "running royalty" or "lump sum."

6c. If you applied a running royalty, state the royalty rate you have found with respect to each Defendant.

Dell:	The state of the s
Western Digital:	
Hitachi:	

Signed this 26 day of July, 2011.